EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

| Laboratory contact details | National Institiute of Biology, Department of Biotechnology and Systems Biology Vecna pot 121, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia | |
|---|---|--|
| Short description of the test | Validation report on the testing of phytoplasmas listed in Annex II, Part A of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2285 by real-time PCR. | |
| Date, reference of the validation report | 2024-08-22 - Validation report on the testing of phytoplasmas listed in Annex II, Part A of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2285 by real-time PCR. | |
| Link to other validation data | - Validation report on the testing of phytoplasmas listed in Annex II, Part A of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2285 by PCR and nested PCR. Validation report on the testing of phytoplasmas listed in Annex II, Part A of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2285 by PCR and nested PCR. | |
| Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? | yes | |
| Is the lab accredited for this test? | no | |
| Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? | EURL | |
| If yes, please specify | EURL-Virology (European Union Reference Laboratory for pests of plants on viruses, viroids and phytoplasmas) | |
| | | |
| Description of the test | | |
| Organism(s) | Phytoplasma (1PHYPG) | |
| Detection / identification | detection and identification | |
| Method(s) | Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular real time PCR | |
| Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA | | |
| Reference of the test description | | |
| As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol | no | |
| As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol | no | |
| | | |

| Reference of the test | Mehle et al., 2013 | |
|--|---|--|
| Kit | | |
| Is a kit used | yes | |
| Manufacturer name | BIONOBILE | |
| Specify the kit used | QuickPick™ SML Plant DNA | |
| Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions? | yes | |
| Other information | | |
| Other details on the test | Total DNA extracts were eluted in 200 µL elution buffer. | |
| Method: Molecular real time PCR | | |
| Reference of the test description | | |
| As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol | yes | |
| New test being considered for inclusion in the next version of the EPPO diagnostic protocol? | no | |
| EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name | PM 7/133 Generic detection of phytoplasmas (version 1) | |
| As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol | no | |
| Is the test modified compared to the reference test | no | |
| Kit | | |
| Is a kit used | yes | |
| Manufacturer name | Applied Biosystems | |
| Specify the kit used | TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix | |
| Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions? | yes | |
| Other information | | |
| Reaction type | Simplex | |
| Other details on the test | Appendix 3 of EPPO PM7/133(1) (Christensen et al., 2004) | |
| Performance Criteria : | | |
| Organism 1.: | Phytoplasma(1PHYPG) | |
| Analytical sensitivity | | |
| What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably? | Dilutions of: - gBlock FJ914644 ('Ca. P. aurantifolia') in a homogenate of healthy potato material - 'Ca. P. fraxini' in DNA from leaves of healthy Vitis vinifera - 'Ca. P. aurantifolia' in DNA from roots of healthy Malus domestica. LOD: for gBlock FJ914644: 10^-7 for 'Ca. P. fraxini': 10^-5 for 'Ca. P. aurantifolia': 10^-7 | |
| Analytical specificity - inclusivity | | |

| Number of strains/populations of target organisms tested | No. of targets tested: 455 isolates/samples from 11 different 16Sr phytoplasma groups; of which 7 isolates from 4 different 16Sr phytoplasma groups are listed in Annex II, Part A of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2285 In addition: in-silico comparison of the primers-probe sequence with 66 representative sequences of phytoplasmas from Annex II, Part A of Commission | |
|---|--|--|
| Specificity value | Implementing Regulation (EU) 2021/2285 + gBlocks testing. 99.8% The test will not detect all phytoplasmas in | |
| | the group of palm lethal yellowing phytoplasmas | |
| Analytical specificity - exclusivity | | |
| Number of non-target organisms tested | No. of non-targets tested: 43 (including at least 8 different bacteria species and 16 different plant host species) | |
| Specificity value | 100% | |
| Reproducibility | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | No. of isolates tested: 3 (for one phytoplasma isolate 3 different dilutions were evaluated) No. of operators: 2 No. of real-time PCR instruments: 2 No. of different days: 6 Percentage of identical results (positive replicates) is 100%. | |
| Repeatability | | |
| Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98) | No. of samples tested: 3 (high, medium and low target concentration) No. of replicates tested: 3 Percentage of identical results (positive replicates) is 100%. | |
| Test performance study | | |
| Test performance study? | no | |
| Other information | | |
| Any other information considered useful | The test was successfully used for the detection of phytoplasmas in various matrices (13 different plant species (leaf veins, root veins) and 3 different vectors). Full validation report is available on the EURL webpage: https://eurlplanthealth.nl/files/view/a154e011-d307-4248-90ed-69d91ce457b8/202408 22_phytoplasmas_real-time-pcr_validation-report_nib.pdf | |

Creation date: 2024-10-07 17:15:36 - Last update: 2024-10-09 09:55:26