EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in Citrus sp. leaves Validation process according to EPPO yes Standard PM7/987 Is the lab accredited for this test? No Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 165 rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed		
Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in Citrus sp. leaves Date, reference of the validation report Date, reference of the validation report Link to other validation data - HLB_qPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232 Detection and identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) List the lab accredited for this test? No Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAS) Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' Candidatus Liberibacter asiatic	Laboratory contact details	Pathogens Unit Pôle de Protection des Plantes, 7 Chemin de l'IRAT,
Link to other validation data - HLB_QPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232 Detection and identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in Citrus sp. leaves Validation process according to EPPO yes Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? In o Buphresco Framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test - 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) - 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAS) - 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 165 rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rpiKAJL – rpoBC	Short description of the test	Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in
identification of 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in Citrus sp. leaves Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? No Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting pIKAJL – rpoBC	Date, reference of the validation report	2020-07-10 - HLB_qPCR_EUPHRESCO-2016-A-232
Standard PM7/98? Is the lab accredited for this test? No Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify 2016-A-232 Description of the test Organism(s) 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL - rpoBC	Link to other validation data	and 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' by Molecular duplex conventional PCR in Citrus sp.
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project? If yes, please specify Description of the test Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rpIKAJL - rpoBC		yes
framework of a project? If yes, please specify 2016-A-232 Description of the test Organism(s) 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rpIKAJL – rpoBC	Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Description of the test Organism(s) 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC		Euphresco
Organism(s) 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC	If yes, please specify	2016-A-232
Organism(s) 'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus' (LIBEAS) 'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC		
'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rpIKAJL – rpoBC	Description of the test	
'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus' (LIBEAF) Detection / identification Method(s) Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rpIKAJL – rpoBC		
Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC	Organism(s)	
Method: Molecular Conventional PCR Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC	Detection / identification	detection and identification
Reference of the test description As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rpIKAJL – rpoBC	Method(s)	Molecular Conventional PCR
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC	Method: Molecular Conventional PCR	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC	Reference of the test description	
Reference of the test Conventional PCR targeting 16S rRNA gene (according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC		no
(according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC	_	no
	Reference of the test	(according to Teixeira et al., 2005a,b) duplexed with the conventional PCR targeting rplKAJL – rpoBC

Is the test modified compared to the reference test	yes Two conventional PCR were duplexed	
Kit		
Is a kit used	no	
Other information		
Reaction type	Duplex	
Are the performance characteristics included in the EPPO diagnostic protocol?	no	
Performance Criteria :		
Organism 1.:	'Candidatus Liberibacter asiaticus'(LIBEAS)	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	0.868 (DSE=PA/N+, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
<u>Diagnostic Specificity</u>		
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	1 (DSP=NA/N-, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	CO=0.952 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	DA=1 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Organism 2.:	'Candidatus Liberibacter africanus'(LIBEAF)	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	0.868 (DSE=PA/N+, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Diagnostic Specificity		
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	1 (DSP=NA/N-, Fav. Hypothesis, considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Reproducibility		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	CO=0.952 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	DA=1 (considering CLas and CLaf samples)	
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	yes	
Brief details of the test performance study	Test performance study organized in the	

and its output.It available, link to published article/report	framework of a EUPHRESCO project involving 8 international laboratories.	
Other information		
Any other information considered useful	Publication available at: https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10658-020-02052-3.pdf Cellier, G., C. Redondo, J. Cubero, M. Roselló, E. de Andrade, L. Cruz, E. Ince, H. N. Yildiz, P. G. Güler, A. M. D'Onghia, T. Yaseen, K. Djelouah, E. Metz-Verschure, F. Gaffuri, R. A. Gottsberger, and B. Giovani. 2020. "Comparison of the performance of the main real-time and conventional PCR detection tests for 'Candidatus Liberibacter' spp., plant pathogenic bacteria causing the Huanglongbing disease in Citrus spp." European Journal of Plant Pathology. doi: 10.1007/s10658-020-02052-3.	

Creation date: 2020-08-18 15:46:10 - Last update: 2020-09-14 15:06:18