## EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	EUPHRESCO-GRAFDEPI Via Carlo Giuseppe Bertero, 22, 00156 ROMA, Italy
Short description of the test	Detection of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by direct universal PCR and nested 16SrV-group specific PCR
Date, reference of the validation report	2014-07-31 - Project EUPHRESCO GRAFDEPI Final Report - 1)http://www.euphresco.net/media/project _reports/grafdepi_final_report.pdf 2)The Euphresco Grafdepi Group, 2015. European interlaboratory comparison of detection methods for "flavescence dorée" phytoplasma: preliminary results. Phytopathogenic Mollicutes doi: 10.5958/2249-4677.2015.00015.8 Vol. 5 (1-Supplement), January 2015, S35-S37
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	Euphresco
If yes, please specify	GRAFDEPI
Description of the test	
Organism(s)	Grapevine flavescence dorée phytoplasma (PHYP64)
Detection / identification	detection
Method(s)	Molecular Conventional PCR
Method: Molecular Conventional PCR	
Reference of the test description	
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/079 Grapevine flavescence dorée phytoplasma (version 1)
Name of the test	Direct generic PCR followed by nested group- specific PCR with primers R16(V)F1/R1
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no

Other information		
Nested		
Direct PCR with universal primers P1/P7 Nested PCR with the group specific primers R16(V)F1/R1		
yes		
Performance Criteria :		
Grapevine flavescence dorée phytoplasma(PHYP64)		
Analytical sensitivity		
The analytical sensitivity was calulated in five laboratories analyzing three samples at five dilution levels (1/10; 1/100; 1/300; 1/900; 1/2700) in five repetitions. Samples (DNA extracts) came from IPEP (Serbia), ACW (Switzerland) and ANSES (France). The homogenising and preparation were performed by ANSES-LSV (France) Two values are provided: The last dilution level with 100% positive results: less than 1/10 (all three samples) The last dilution level with, at least, one positive result for each sample: 1/2700 (all three samples)		
Results obtained by 14 laboratories (one partner was excluded because the protocol was not respected) with a total of 312 results. Determined in 11 samples positive for Flavescence dorée phytoplasma. They were DNA extracts of Vitis sp. tested positive by PCR for FD pure or mixed with different quantities of healthy grapevine or mixed with DNA extracts positive for the 16SrXII group phytoplasmas. Within the ringtest 7 diagnostic methods were compared. Diagnostic sensitivity: 91.44% False negative: (16/312) 5.1%		
Other protocols included in the ringtest: - Simoultaneous detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas by multiplex nested-PCR (Dairè et al., 1997; Angelini et al., 2001; Clair et al., 2003) - Detection and identification of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by direct and nested PCR followed by RFLP with Taq I (Martini et al., 1999) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control for grapevine (Angelini et al., 2007) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control - (Hren et al., 2007) - Triplex real- time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control for grapevine. (Pelletier et al., 2009) - Triplex real time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control - (under patent IPADLAB)		

Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	Results obtained by 14 laboratories (one partner was excluded because the protocol was not respected) with a total of 312 results. 13 non target samples: 4 healthy grapevines and 9 were other phytoplasmas of 16SrV group and phytoplasmas from other groups. Diagnostic specificity: 88.29%	
Specify the test(s)	Other protocols included in the ringtest: - Simoultaneous detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas by multiplex nested-PCR (Dairè et al., 1997; Angelini et al., 2001; Clair et al., 2003) - Detection and identification of Flavescence dorée phytoplasma by direct and nested PCR followed by RFLP with Taq I (Martini et al., 1999) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control for grapevine (Angelini et al., 2007) - Simplex real time PCR for the detection of FD and BN phytoplasmas with an internal control - (Hren et al., 2007) - Triplex real-time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control for grapevine. (Pelletier et al., 2009) - Triplex real time PCR for simultaneous FD and BN phytoplasmas detection with an internal control - (under patent IPADLAB)	
<u>Reproducibility</u>		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	The reproducibility was calulated in five laboratories analyzing three samples at five dilution levels (1/10; 1/100; 1/300; 1/900; 1/2700) in five repetitions. Samples (DNA extracts) came from IPEP (Serbia), ACW (Switzerland) and ANSES (France). The homogenising and preparation were performed by ANSES-LSV (France) Reproducibility: 73.80%	
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	The repeatability was calulated in five laboratories analyzing three samples at five dilution levels (1/10; 1/100; 1/300; 1/900; 1/2700) in five repetitions. Samples (DNA extracts) came from IPEP (Serbia), ACW (Switzerland) and ANSES (France). The homogenising and preparation were performed by ANSES-LSV (France) Repeatability: 81.65%	
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	yes	
Brief details of the test performance study and its output.It available, link to published article/report	Interlaboratory comparison among 15 laboratories within the EUPHRESCO Project GRAFDEPI (CRA-PAV, Italy; AGES, Austria; CRA-W, Belgium, PPRS, Turkey; INIAV, Portugal; ACW, Switzerland; ILVO, Belgium; DIPSA, Bologna Italy; DISAA, Milan Italy; IPEP, Serbia; NIB, Slovenia; IRTA, Spain; ANSES, France; Cra-VIT, Italy)	
Other information		
Any other information considered useful	The ringtest was carried out by 15 laboratories and	

	it is not possible to state if any of them is accredited for this test
The following complementary files are available online:	<ul> <li><u>EUPHRESCO-GRAFDEPI Samples for</u> determination of performance criteria</li> </ul>

Creation date: 2015-02-04 00:00:00 - Last update: 2021-05-17 17:47:27