EUROPEAN AND MEDITERRANEAN PLANT PROTECTION ORGANIZATION ORGANISATION EUROPEENNE ET MEDITERRANEENNE POUR LA PROTECTION DES PLANTES Summary sheet of validation data for a diagnostic test

The EPPO Standard PM 7/98 Specific requirements for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity describes how validation should be conducted. It also includes definitions of performance criteria.

Laboratory contact details	Institute for Sustainable Plant Protection via Amendola, 122/D, 70126 Bari, Italy	
Short description of the test	detection of Xylella fastidiosa in composite olive samples	
Date, reference of the validation report	2021-09-26 - Diagnostic Procedures to Detect Xylella fastidiosa in Nursery Stocks and Consignments of Plants for Planting. Agriculture 2021, 11, 922. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11100922	
Validation process according to EPPO Standard PM7/98?	yes	
Is the lab accredited for this test?	no	
Was the validated data generated in the framework of a project?	Other_project	
If yes, please specify	XF-ACTORS	
Description of the test		
Organism(s)	Xylella fastidiosa (XYLEFA)	
Detection / identification	detection	
Method(s)	Molecular Extraction DNA RNA Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (2) Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (3) Molecular real time PCR	
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes	
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/024 Xylella fastidiosa (version 4)	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	yes	
Manufacturer name	QIAGEN	
Specify the kit used	DNeasy mericon Food Kit	

Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?	yes "Modified DNeasy MericonTM Food Standard Protocol" (Qiagen)	
Other information		
Other details on the test	Total DNA were extracted from composite olive samples, prepared as reported in theattached additional file, by using "Modified DNeasy MericonTM Food Standard Protocol" (Qiagen)	
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (2)		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	yes	
Manufacturer name	PROMEGA	
Specify the kit used	Maxwell® RSC PureFood GMO and Authentication Kit	
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?		
Other information		
Other details on the test	Total DNA were extracted from composite olive samples, prepared as reported in theattached additional file, by using "Maxwell® RSC PureFood GMO and Authentication Kit" protocol (Promega)	
Method: Molecular Extraction DNA RNA (3)		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes	
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/024 Xylella fastidiosa (version 4)	
As or adapted from an IPPC diagnostic protocol	no	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	no	
Kit		
Is a kit used	yes	
Manufacturer name		
Specify the kit used		
Kit used following the manufacturer's instructions?		
Other information		
Other details on the test	Total DNA were extracted from composite olive samples, prepared as reported in the attached additional file, by using CTAB-based extraction protocol, Modified DNeasy® Mericon™ Food Standard Protocol and the Maxwell® RSC PureFood GMO and Authentication Kit" (Promega)	

Method: Molecular real time PCR		
Reference of the test description		
As or adapted from an EPPO diagnostic protocol	yes	
EPPO Diagnostic Protocol name	PM 7/024 Xylella fastidiosa (version 3)	
Name of the test	Real-time PCR - simplex (Harper et al., 2010; erratum 2013)	
Is the test modified compared to the reference test	yes BSA was not included in the amplification MIX	
Other information		
Performance Criteria :		
Organism 1.:	Xylella fastidiosa(XYLEFA)	
Analytical sensitivity		
What is smallest amount of target that can be detected reliably?	4 infected olive leaves in 20 gr of leaf petioles/midribs recovered from Xylella-free olive plants	
Diagnostic sensitivity		
Proportion of infected/infested samples tested positive compared to results from the standard test, see appendix 2 of PM 7/98	100% using the 3 different DNA extraction procedures	
Standard test(s)	standard tests reported by appendix 3 and 5 of PM 7/24 (3)	
Diagnostic Specificity		
Proportion of uninfected/uninfested samples (true negatives) testing negative compared to results from a standard test	100% using the 3 different DNA extraction procedures	
Specify the test(s)	standard tests reported by appendix 3 and 5 of PM 7/24 (3)	
Repeatability		
Provide the calculated % of agreement for a given level of the pest (see PM 7/98)	100% using the 3 different DNA extraction procedures	
Test performance study		
Test performance study?	no	
Other information		
Any other information considered useful	These validation data were obtained by IPSP-CNR in collaboration with the Department of Soil, Plant and Food Science of the University of Bari (ITAY). For any additional detail, see the attached file.	
The following complementary files are available online:	• <u>paper 2021</u>	

Creation date: 2019-03-14 00:00:00 - Last update: 2022-01-25 14:19:04